

Alan Watt
"Cutting Through The Matrix" Live On RBN (#225)

Poem Copyright Alan Watt Dec. 31, 2008:

Gore's Theory Fails, but Truth Prevails:

**"Dr. Will Happer Made Some People Sore,
Fired by His Boss, Then-Vice-President Gore,
Scientific Facts Clashed with Gore's Prediction,
Making Gore's Alarmism All Science Fiction,
Facts Gored Gore, Upset Him Forsooth,
As He was Writing His Sci-Fi, 'An Inconvenient Truth,'
He Told Happer, Facts were Akin to Heresy
When They Contradict (Essential) Public Policy,
Happer's a Champion with Some Real Integrity,
So Three Cheers for Him, a True Celebrity"**

© Alan Watt Dec. 31, 2008

Wednesday 31st December 2008

**Poem & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - Dec. 31, 2008 (Exempting Music,
Literary Quotes, and Callers' Comments)**

www.cuttingthroughthematrix.com

www.alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

"Code of Silence" by Bruce Springsteen

There's a code of silence that we don't dare speak
There's a wall between us and a river so deep
And we keep pretending that there's nothing wrong
But there's a code of silence and it can't go on

Hi folks, I am Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on December the 31st 2008.

For newcomers: and there's always newcomers, that's why it sounds monotonous for those who tune in regularly, there's always newcomers coming in, I advise them to go to my website www.cuttingthroughthematrix.com and download as many of the talks I've given in the past as they wish; and, they should do as much as they can, while the websites are still up there, because big changes are coming. I try to give you the shortcuts to the big picture and all of the big scams that are taken for granted as reality and how a system that runs our lives is moving on to its upgrade; and, they plan to upgrade us all along with it and also to bring us down in numbers that is.

Also look into www.alanwattsentientsentinel.eu for transcripts, which you can download and these are of the talks I've given, you can print them up and pass them round to your friends; they are in the various languages of Europe.

Here we are, at the end of another year, prosperous for a few and pretty horrible

for many, as, once again, we've watched the people of the world being looted by big banks, in collusion with their own governments, that come to their aid, to help bail them out, supposedly, so we're told, even though there's no record of how they're spending the cash. It's rather astonishing to watch the replays, if you've studied history, because all this is a replay that's been done many times in the past. At least once a century, they allow you to accumulate and accumulate and then they take it all from you. Things don't disappear in crashes; they're simply transferred into fewer and fewer hands. I've talked about the book called Robber Barons before, it's an excellent book to go into for the information of the big bankers looting the American public in the late 1800s; and, not only that, it goes into all the scams that Canada and the US were doing at the same time, by having railroads, tax-payer funded and built, all through the country, to network up and they were giving out hundreds of miles on either side of the track, just taking it in fact, because they left it wide open how much they wanted and it was a sort of 'wink-wink nudge-nudge' type deal with the big high Masons of the day. They ended up with incredible amounts of vast acreage across the continent, but all funded by the tax-payer, what you might call a public-private investing together, so as the private could end up owning it. That's not bad, if you have big ambitions and you have a great idea to build something huge across a continent and get the tax payer to fund it all for you and it costs you nothing. I mean, that's a good deal, that's a pretty good deal and that was happening. I have old newspaper articles from the time period, in Canada and the States, political satires in cartoons, talking about this and it was just quite hilarious and how brazen it was all done at the time. Once again, the major historians brush it under the carpet, quieten it down; the media pulls in with every generation, helps to cover it up and starts to omit it from the history books until we've no memory of it, so that they can pull the same stunt again. That's why they do that, you see; and, that's why they've never changed laws that would stop it from happening again. As I've said before, the big question has always been asked by people, down through the ages, does the economy exist to serve the people or do people exist to serve the economy? They have told us at the top that we are here to serve them and they make no mistake about it. I'll be back with more, after this break.

=== BREAK ===

We're back, Cutting Through The Matrix and, as I say, this whole last year has been a year, not really of surprises, for those who have been studying this whole agenda for such a long time, because the 'economic melt-down', as they keep calling it, was planned and known, long in advance, by the insiders as coming, they were all looting their own banks and so on, and transferring it abroad into safer havens, for themselves. And, out came the International Monetary Fund and a cry for the International Monetary Fund as well, to sort of take over, we just can't manage things in this global system anymore, so the IMF steps in to do exactly that which it was set up to do in the first place, a long-long time ago. The whole United Nations was set up, to do exactly what it's doing, to be the front for the beginning of 'Global Governance', as they like to call it; and, not only that, it ties in with the earth worship idea and global warming, as its changes to various other names, including, basically, weather changes, climate changes and so on. The weather has always changed, that's why we always look into the weather, at the news hour every evening to see what was going to happen the next day. Generally they were wrong and it hasn't really improved that much, even with all those satellites today, in fact the CBC pulled their long range forecasts about six month ago, or a year or so ago, they said they just couldn't predict it any more.

The reality is: they didn't want you to know they can predict it; all you have to do is phone the Air Force and ask them what kind of whether they are going to make for the upcoming month and you might get an answer.

This religion that must come into being has been on the cards of the big Foundations that work together, to create the future society that they planned to bring in. You know, the big eugenics society with the 'superior ones' coming through the next thirty-odd years of rioting, as they claim themselves, and the Military's writing up in their own articles and the old man and woman just simply die off, they'll die off in the over-crowded cities and now you know why they haven't been fixing the big cities. They knew this, years ago, this was coming. I've mentioned before about people I met, who showed me, architects who were very very wealthy indeed; and, not just architects, one was a lord and architecture was a hobby, he was a qualified architect, who showed me a domed Toronto of the future, there was a big dome over it and I looked at it and says: "*well, that's a very small Toronto and how will people travel?*" He says "*they'll travel underground to their destinations but the greater Toronto won't be there then*"; and, so I knew something big was coming up, down the road. And, here we are today: with the reports from the military and all the big Think Tanks, all working together, with the big Foundations and I'm talking about the Foundations that make the government policy, that's very important to understand, international government policy. And, here we are on a roll into changing our whole system to save the planet, the idea that was dreamed up by the Club of Rome, in the 1970s about 1972 and published in their own book in the '90s called *The First Global Revolution*; and, nothing's going to change it. Here's an article that ties in with this one because it's from a Princeton Physicist who calls Global Warming Science "Mistaken", being very very polite, he says "Mistaken", that's a very polite way to say things, you don't say things like "It's a lot of crap" if you're from Princeton, you see, and it's by Michael Asher, this comes from the www.dailytech.com December 23rd 2008. It says:

Princeton Physicist Calls Global Warming Science "Mistaken"

Dr. William Happer (Source: Princeton University)

Scientist fired by Al Gore

When Al Gore was Vice President when he:

was told, "science will not intrude on public policy".

In other words: the facts won't matter, they don't want facts; they just want the agenda.

Noted energy expert and Princeton physicist Dr. Will Happer has sharply criticized global warming alarmism. Happer, author of over 200 scientific papers and a past director of energy research at the Department of Energy, called fears over global warming "mistaken".

"I have spent a long research career studying physics that is closely related to the greenhouse effect", said Happer. "Fears about man-made global warming are unwarranted and are not based on good science."

Dr. Happer views climate change as a predominately natural process. "The earth's climate is changing now, as it always has. There is no evidence that

the changes differ in any qualitative way from those of the past."

In 1991, Happer was appointed director of energy research for the US Department of Energy. In 1993, he testified before Congress that the scientific data didn't support widespread fears about the dangers of the ozone hole and global warming, remarks that caused then-Vice President Al Gore to fire him. "I was told that science was not going to intrude on public policy", he said. "I did not need the job that badly".

There you are, you see the facts don't matter because it's an agenda and the facts are not going to intrude on public policy.

Happer's latest remarks were made yesterday, as he asked to be included in a Senate Environment and Public Works report of scientists disputing global warming alarmism. Happer joins 650 other scientists on the list, many of whom have been interviewed previously by DailyTech.

"Computer models used to generate frightening scenarios from increasing levels of carbon dioxide have scant credibility," Happer concluded.

In response to Happer's remarks, Senator James Inhofe, ranking minority member of the Environment and Public Works Committee, said, "The endless claims of a consensus on man-made global warming grow less and less credible every day"

Regardless of the facts or how less credible it becomes, it is a must-be, the same as the economy is going to bring us into this new Eco-worship, we'll all serve mother earth with white-coated scientists who are the new priesthood, who will tell us how to live and what to do, from birth to death; and if you can breed and if you can't breed, because you might have inferior genes that could get passed on and all this kind of stuff. They want a really good economy where all the unfit types simply don't exist and don't pass on genes that are, you know, dominant type genes, the wrong sort of genes and that's all been drafted up and discussed openly in the big Foundations that make and create and have signed into law public policy, through their politicians that they often control.

It's not a good world that's coming up but we're getting trained, through it, step-by-step, mainly since 2001, with all the hype about terrorism. They couldn't have started the whole agenda and kicked it off into this phase without 2001 happening, they needed it, it was a must-be, once again, it had to happen. This article I'm about to read now, from the Mail online, ties into this because, you see prior to 2001, there were changes happening, that people took through gradualism and accepted gradually, through the war on drugs and all these wars had other purposes and when presidents declare a war on something, you better believe they mean they are using the law of the land, to get an agenda through. Now, with the war on drugs, we saw schools being turned into, basically, prison camps that you go into every day and you're locked in there till you get out and then we gradually saw them having raids on locker rooms and that started it off. Then, it was passing through electronic gateways that would monitor if you had anything on you that you shouldn't have, then it was patting down as well as you were going through the gates with armed guards there. Then it goes on and on and on. See, a whole generation world-wide, because we are global, a whole generation have been trained and they've got reared being been patted down, thinking nothing of

showing ID and passing through electronic screening. That was to train that generation. Why bother with the older ones who might dig in their heels a little bit? You always go for the youth, who will grow up thinking it's all quite natural. We do have a generation growing up since 9/11 2001 who have lived in this martial law society, since birth; and, they're at school now and they're going through all this stuff, patting down, ID checks, a lot of them get thumb scanning and so on. That's to train them; this was all coordinated by big Think Tanks many-many years ago, to make sure it happened world-wide at the same time.

Mail online and what's in Britain happens in the US very quickly now, often simultaneously; and, it definitely happens along with the British Commonwealth countries, Canada being one of them, we have this strange 'archy' called Monarchy. Monarchical Government they call it or Monarchical Democracy, the two is completely at odds with each other but that's what they call it.

This is from December the 31st 2008:

The 'nanny state' guide to giving children of five alcohol

Listen to this.

Parents are to be issued with government guidance about letting their children try alcohol at home.

This is what the government politicians and bureaucrats do.

Currently, children as young as five can legally drink at home, if they are supervised by their parents.

Many adults allow their offspring to try small amounts of beer or wine in the hope that they will adopt a sensible attitude towards drinking.

For the first time, however, Chief Medical Officer Sir Liam Donaldson is drawing up a series of recommendations, which will include how much alcohol parents can safely give to their children. Opposition MPs have criticised the Government's approach, claiming it smacked of the 'nanny state'.

Of course it does, we won't be able to turn or move without permission shortly. I'll be back with more, after these messages.

=== BREAK ===

Hi Folks, I'm Alan Watt; we're back Cutting Through The Matrix and I thought I'd let that guitar wail for a while because we should all be wailing about what's going on. Talking about the big brother system that's here and it's encroaching ever faster, that how, primarily, they've gone for the children, because they're growing up thinking all of this ID stuff and iris scans and thumb-printing and passing through showing ID cards for everything, being patted down, having your luggage searched or your backpack searched, it's all quite normal; it's all quite natural and it's all they've known, some of them. Very important for the future that they're going to grow up in because it's all planned for them; and, everything that's going to happen on a major scale, in their lifetime, is all planned for them too, but they don't know that yet. This is from the mail online and it's the 28th of December 2008:

Big Brother CCTV to spy on pupils aged four - complete with CPS evidence kit

By Jason Lewis

Schools have installed CCTV cameras and microphones in classrooms to watch and listen to pupils as young as four. The Big Brother-style surveillance is being marketed as a way to identify pupils disrupting lessons when teachers' backs are turned.

Can you believe this? It's amazing.

Classwatch, the firm behind the system, says its devices can be set up to record everything that goes on in a classroom 24 hours a day and used to compile 'evidence' of wrongdoing.

The equipment is sold with Crown Prosecution Service-approved evidence bags

Evidence bags.

to store material to be used in court cases. The microphones and cameras can be used during lessons and when a classroom is unattended, such as during lunch breaks.

But data protection watchdog the Information Commissioner has warned the surveillance may be illegal and demanded to know why primary and secondary schools are using this kind of sophisticated equipment to watch children.

Well, it's the agenda, that's why. It's the agenda to get the children all used to it. It's funny, because I watched a documentary, not so long ago, and they were using 8mm film from back in the '30s or '40s perhaps, or even '50s, of children in a classroom, just prior to the teacher walking in; and, the young guys, age about 5 or 6 were running all over the place. Well, this was quite normal when I was young; but, you see, they've so turned the whole education system into this matriarchal society, coupled with science as their god, that any young male showing any energy whatsoever is automatically diagnosed as hyper-active and put on Ritalin. It's astonishing what's happened, even in that sphere where they've actually begun to drug so many young males and we know these drugs used long term actually shrink the brain; but that, again, is part of the agenda. You don't want young men growing up to be good leaders with critical thinking abilities, if you're taking them through a time in history where they might rebel and have the brains to do it, properly. This is long-term war strategy that's being used on them. Getting back to the article:

Officials said they would be contacting schools to seek 'proper justification' for the equipment's use.

Classwatch is set to face further scrutiny over the role of Shadow Children's Minister Tim Loughton, the firm's £30,000-a-year chairman.

The equipment, which includes ceiling-mounted microphones and cameras and a hard drive recorder housed in a secure cabinet, is operating in around 85 primary and secondary schools and colleges.

This is already, before they got permission from 'on high'.

The systems cost around £3,000 to install in each classroom or can be leased for about £50 per classroom per month. The firm says the devices act as 'impartial witnesses'

Hah!

which can provide evidence in disputes and curb bullying and unruly behaviour and protect teachers against false allegations of abuse - plus provide evidence acceptable in court. The firm also promotes its equipment as an educational tool,

See, this is how, see that, they could market anything; they could market death rays for teachers to use on the pupils, under 'educational tools'.

allowing 'key lessons and class discussions to be recorded for revision, or for pupils who have missed important material or who may need extra help'.

Which means drugging them generally.

Schools are required to inform all parents that microphones and cameras are monitoring their children.

But last night an Information Commissioner's Office spokesman said the system raised 'privacy concerns for teachers, students and their parents'. He said the ICO would contact Classwatch and schools using the devices.

You know something else, who'll be into it, all the Behaviourists all the psychologists, will be watching this stuff, to find out how to control us all better down the road. This is all part of it too and even though that they say they're closed-circuit televisions. If you see the movie that came out years ago, called Enemy of the State, all these closed-circuit TVs are actually all interconnected. They have devices in them, when you buy them, that, literally, will give off signals that are picked up elsewhere and big government organisations are watching everywhere you go, everywhere you go, whether it's into a school room or anywhere else, into a subway. They can follow you through the streets and all the rest of it; and, into the underground. This is the kind of world they're building up, to make us all 'safer'. It's going to be a worse system than the Soviet Union could ever have possibly have dreamed of. Back with more, after this break.

=== BREAK ===

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt, we're Cutting Through The Matrix, talking about loss of privacy and how we're being spied upon from every quarter; and, reading an article here, talking about them putting up these CCTV cameras through schools and, believe you me, it's a world-wide agenda, every country in the Western hemisphere at least; and, you'll also find it in Australia and New Zealand, they're getting the same thing. It's funny because none of this is ever really brought up in Parliament, anybody's parliament, before it happens. It's just given the old-boys' go ahead; the old boys' network's go-ahead. It says here that the Information Commissioner's Office spokesman says:

'The use of microphones to record conversations is deeply intrusive and we will be seeking further clarification on their use in schools and, if necessary, we will issue further guidance to head teachers.'

In other words: it's a done deal.

Martin Johnson, deputy general secretary of the Association of Teachers and Lecturers, added: 'We strongly object to schools or colleges having free rein to use CCTV and microphones, especially in sensitive areas such as classrooms, changing rooms and toilets.'

Well, I'm sure they'll soon get over that.

'We expect CCTV be used appropriately and not to spy on staff or pupils.'

Well, what on earth are they there for? What do they think they're for?

Classwatch director Andrew Jenkins, who set up the firm with his wife, said he welcomed further discussions with the Information Commissioner.

I'm sure he's been given the go-ahead at much higher levels.

He said Classwatch had tried to guard against accusations of bringing Big Brother into schools.

Well, what else would you call it? "accusations of", I guess it's all misperceptions.

'The system can be turned on and turned off as they wish,' he said. 'It is a bit like a video at home. This is not Big Brother. The system is under the control of the teacher.'

Really? Oh, really? You can guarantee that eh?

Asked whether the company had taken account of the Commissioner's strict rules on workplace monitoring, he said: 'Compliance with the Data Protection Act has always been a priority. 'Schools are required to ensure they follow protocols which recognise the privacy of pupils and staff. The overwhelming experience has been that pupils feel safer

Oh, they feel safer

and that teachers feel more in control of their classrooms.'

When they're all getting watched! See, that's what, it's to make us all feel safer that unknown people are watching you. That makes us all very safe, doesn't it?

'Schools are required to ensure they follow protocols which recognise the privacy of pupils and staff. The overwhelming experience has been that pupils feel safer and that teachers feel more in control of their classrooms.' Last night, Tory frontbencher

He's a front bencher in Parliament

Mr Loughton insisted there was no conflict between his political role and part-time job.

There's no conflict? He's a politician and he's one of the heads of this company.

He said: 'I am not the Shadow Minister for Schools, I am the Shadow Minister for Children.'

So, that makes it ok ha-ha-ha.

I don't speak on school security.'

He declares his involvement with the firm on the MPs' register of interests and added: 'I have never sought to advocate this.'

Of course he hasn't, it was a surprise to him!

I went through this very carefully before I got involved in it and it doesn't conflict with anything I do.'

Not at all, not at all, no.

Labour MP Barry Sheerman, the chairman of the Commons Education Committee, said: 'If the Information Commissioner is concerned, we all should be concerned and I think that my committee should look at it when Parliament returns.'

A Schools Department spokesman said: 'We do not prescribe what schools must do to tackle security.'

That's their way of getting out of it and letting it go ahead. Security you see, everything's to do with security. It's like going through the airport and holding your pants up, feeling utterly dejected and humiliated. You are meant to feel humiliated, that's the purpose of it, taking your shoes off, holding up your pants, that's what you do in slave labour camps when you're teaching the lesser people a lesson. We're being taught that we're utterly, not just humbly, low on the agenda and we're just owned by the big boys at the top. We're being taught to act dejectedly and to have no pride, we're being humiliated. You must destroy pride, you must create a form of apathy in people, get them to obey and do what they're told. And, this next article ties right in with this and where it's going. It's from cnn.com technology. This article here, I can't see the exact date on it, but it says:

TEL AVIV, Israel (CNN) -- Keep your shoes and belts on: Waiting in long airport security lines to pass through metal detectors may soon be a thing of the past.

Security experts say focus is shifting from analyzing the content of carry-ons to analyzing the content of passengers' intentions and emotions.

"We are seeing a needed paradigm shift when it comes to security," says Omer Laviv, CEO of ATHENA GS3, an Israeli-based security company.

"This 'brain-fingerprinting,' or technology which checks for behavioral intent, is much more developed than we think."

Nowhere is the need for cutting-edge security more acute than Israel, which faces constant security threats. For this reason, Israel has become a leader in developing security technology.

I should add: selling it as well.

Several Israeli-based technology companies are developing detection systems that pick up signs of emotional strain,

You can imagine going to these airports and here you are taking your shoes off, taking your belt off, holding your pants up, you're worrying whether you'll catch

your plane or your flight or not and get stopped and patted down. And, here they are, looking for signs of emotional strain. I'm sure everybody will fail on that one. Unless those that are tranquilised, they might get through.

a psychological red flag that a passenger may intend to commit an act of terror.

Really?

Speedier and less intrusive than metal detectors, these systems may eventually restore some efficiency to the airplane boarding process.

We used to have it before all this farce started.

One firm, WeCU (pronounced "We See You") Technologies, employs a combination of infra-red technology, remote sensors and imagers, and flashing of subliminal images, such as a photo of Osama bin Laden.

!

Developers say the combination of these technologies can detect a person's reaction to certain stimuli by reading body temperature, heart rate and respiration, signals a terrorist unwittingly emits before he plans to commit an attack.

They could show you George Bush or Al Gore, I'm sure alarm bells will still go off.

With these technologies, the emphasis is on speed and seamlessness. Ehud Givon, CEO of WeCU, envisions a day when a passenger can breeze through a security checkpoint in 20 to 30 seconds.

Well, that will be true, because you see they're cutting back on flights everywhere and we know that, just like Agenda 21, we'll have no private transportation and all be hemmed in your city limits, where you're assigned. This is to be the same with those who travel in aircraft; it'll be essential travel only. That's all part of it too, in this lovely global system of ours. He says:

Although traditional security profiling can discriminate by race and religion, security experts say behavioral profiling is more fair, more effective and less expensive. WeCU has received grants from the Transportation Security Administration within the U.S. Department of Homeland Security,

Yes, because they've got one of the biggest lobby groups; you see, that's how democracy works: the biggest lobby group gets all the deals and they get heard, you see.

which hopes to implement a system to pinpoint internal threats such as airline employees intending terrorist acts. Once these technologies are in place, a passenger may pass through a security screening without realizing it.

So, not only are they going to do this to you, they're going to intrude on you without you even knowing it.

For example, passengers could use an automated check-in system or gaze at a screen with departures information without realizing they've just been

exposed to the words "Islamic jihad" written in Arabic.

These stimuli, explains Givon, will intrinsically elicit some sort of biometric response -- whether the passenger knows it or not -- that can be picked up by WeCU's strategically placed sensors. "I believe that we introduce a new layer in security," Givon says. "This is something that couldn't be done in the past: finding the connection between a certain individual and the intent to harm." The Orwellian-sounding startup has gone further to develop a system that detects a passenger's behavioral intentions by scanning their every step, literally. While walking around certain parts of the airport terminal, a passenger may not realize he has stepped on a "smart carpet" filled with hidden biometric sensors. The technology is still under development, says Givon, who believes it will be strong enough to pick up biometric information from a footstep. If a passenger is wearing heavy hiking boots, for example, WeCU will rely on biometric sensors combined with video and thermal biometric imaging to detect malicious intent.

These feet were made for walking, or these boots.

Another option from WeCU is a "smart seat,

Now listen to this:

" or cushion full of hidden biometric sensors that could provide a more detailed read on someone sitting in an airport waiting area, Givon says.

You won't be able to sit down without these feelers all sensing you and giving off information.

While the technology sounds like something from a James Bond flick or even "A Clockwork Orange,"

It's more like Clockwork Orange.

Givon insists that passengers will not find the techniques intrusive. "We don't want you to feel that you are being interrogated," he says.

You're going to be interrogated but they don't want you to feel that you're being interrogated, he says.

Givon is negotiating contracts with airports worldwide and believes his company's technology may be implemented as soon as 2010.

Well, they all know that, through all their lobbying groups you see.

Nemesysco, another Israeli-based technology company, believes the key to a person's emotions and intentions lies in their voice. The company's patented LVA, or Layered Voice Analysis, technology can pick up verbal cues from a passenger who may pose a threat.

They always put "may", that's their way out of it.

Unlike a polygraph test, which checks for lies, Nemesysco's systems work as an "emotion detector," says Nemesysco CEO Amir Liberman. In other words, it's not what passengers say, but how they say it. Nemesysco's devices use a series of patented signal-processing algorithms that can differentiate

between a "normal" voice and a "stressed" voice.

Here you are waiting for your plane, you're probably getting held up by security, they ask you a few questions: "you sound kind of frustrated" and this thing's going to register that, so they'll hold you even longer and you'll miss your flight and you really will be upset.

If emotional stress is detected, officials can determine if the passenger should be taken aside for further questioning.

There you go.

The system works on the premise

On the premise.

that all voices have a certain frequency, and any deviation of that baseline frequency can indicate stress. Liberman says it takes approximately five to 10 seconds for their system to capture a "normal" voice in casual conversation, which establishes a baseline. Their system then measures changes from the baseline voice that signify an increase in stress, excitement, anticipation, hesitation or other emotions that can indicate a potential terrorism threat.

It'll be the same emotions you get if you're scared of flying. It'd be the same thing if you saw something jumping up on a screen that says "jihad" and here you are getting on a plane. I'm sure you're going to be rather upset.

A computer processes the voice patterns and then flashes words such as "high risk," "medium risk," "excited" and "highly stressed." Through his system, Liberman says, he "can see what's going on in your brain."

That's not intrusive, that's not intrusive at all is it?

Versions of Nemesysco's system already have been successfully tested at Moscow Domodedovo International Airport, where officials used it to target criminals and drug traffickers. A version was recently implemented at another major international airport which Liberman declined to identify. Layered Voice Analysis also has been used to test for insurance fraud and on the TV program "Big Brother Australia."

This is how they market the idea into your brain and bring it out into situations you're going to run into, so it's familiar to you.

Layered Voice Analysis has limitations, including the inability to trace the vocal patterns of a person with a speech impediment. But the system is more effective than current security measures, claims Liberman,

Who's selling it of course.

who believes a terrorist currently can pass through airport security with explosive material "that can take down any plane."

I wonder how they can do that?

In fact, many experts express little confidence in the current state of airport security.

It's amazing how all these experts say that but they're all involved in selling stuff isn't it?

Philip Baum, London-based editor of Aviation Security International magazine, says would-be terrorists could easily slip through security checkpoints, even with new regulations that check for liquids. "The archaic system of an X-ray machine and metal detector cannot pick up other potential threats posed by passengers," Baum says. "I can have a ceramic weapon or chemical weapons and walk through an archway metal detector and it won't be picked up.

Israel also designed that too, it's a little gun for assassination and they made it out of ceramics so they wouldn't be picked up by their own security equipment. They're on both sides you see, selling this and that.

Yet we have huge faith in these metal detectors that can only pick up one substance." Laviv, whose consulting firm focuses on securing mass transportation systems, is equally skeptical. "It is possible today to hijack an aircraft using only five or six able-bodied passengers who are well-trained in Kung Fu fighting," he says. "There is no technology in place in airports to detect a threat like that.

They'd have to put up a subliminal picture of Bruce Lee or something, to see if they get all hot and bothered.

"The question is, should our desire be to look for each and every threat agent, rather than focus our efforts on identifying the [violent] intention of the passenger?"

Once again, you can see what I was talking about earlier, one of the documents I was reading where they're training children from birth, basically, from kindergarten, to be patted down, to go through checkpoints and security screening and electronic screening, to be monitored, finger-printed, iris scanned and have their back packs checked. This is for a whole world wide society they're being trained, for a future that's coming into place now; and, all this stuff is only part of it, to make you think it's normal that, wherever you go, wherever you go, you must be screened at all times. You know it's never going to be enough, never ever going to be enough to keep the big boys happy because their goal is ultimately to have you chipped. They'll probably start with the chip in the arm but it will go on to the brain chip, ultimately, that's the agenda, because only when everyone is 100% predictable, 100% predictable, can they feel safe at the very-very top. They've conquered the world.

You see, this is a battle for your mind, literally a battle for your mind; and, most folk have lost the battle already and don't even know it, just by watching TV every day of their life. They don't know there's a battle going on. This all ties in with the new doctrine of neuroscience, as they call it, and predictability - Neuroscientific predictability. I'll be back with more, on this after the following break.

=== BREAK ===

We're Cutting Through The Matrix and we're just closing off the old year and my own hope is that more people will understand what's really going on and counter-movements will emerge, not just to counter this, but to divert the direction. You can't hit these things head on, because those that control it have gone through all

the counters that could possibly arise, except the ones that deflect them, they expect you to hit them head-on and argue it out. That's not how you win these wars; these guys have been on the go for centuries, playing this kind of Hegelian-dialectical game.

Now, for those who have helped me out this year, the donations I've got, I really thank the people because they don't come in all the time but when they do, it helps me pay my costs and keep me from going off and doing other things, which I'd have to do, to keep going. So, I really appreciate those who do donate and some of them are only occasionally, most of them are occasionally, but it doesn't matter how much you send either, that helps me out and also for those who haven't got my books, CDs and DVDs, you can find them on the website at www.cuttingthroughthematrix.com

You can purchase them too and that keeps me going. This is pretty expensive just getting information out, never mind the incredible amount of time that's spent doing all this. It's not a matter of just sitting down at night and doing a one hour show, I've got many things to do during the day and rush off to town, collect books, do the usual stuff, come back, answer lots of emails, check things out on the websites and so on. Then after the show, it's a matter of them being uploaded to all the different sites. That takes a lot of time and energy. So, it's not something you would pick as a career or as job, believe you me, it takes seven days a week to try and get everything done and it's impossible to ever, ever come close to that, you just can't do it. There's always stuff left behind that you try and get back to; but, even then, you've got to leave a lot of it.

We are going into another year, as I say, and it's up to everyone out there to educate themselves very-very quickly on the truth and the truth is the hardest thing to find in a totally-controlled society and we are under a totally-controlled society. Remember: the media's not there to inform you of stuff that you really should know, for your own benefit. That's not its job. Its job is to collect money for its bosses, because they're private companies, news media outlets are private companies and they depend themselves on advertising to keep them going and, so often, they can't criticise those that advertise with them. I mentioned the Fox team the other day that tried to take their own Fox news to court, because they were not allowed to go ahead with their Monsanto story. Monsanto had leaned on Fox and it went all the way to the court. The judge ruled that the news, any news media, it's no law that any news media must tell the public the truth. I'm glad someone said it at last, something we've always known, lots of us have known this. When they do give you certain truths - they're always partial truths - that's the easiest way to direct a person's conclusion, is by giving them a partial truth but never the whole truth. That's how education works too, part of the story, children get part of a story, they think in black and white, there's no grey area and they're left with their conclusions, the pre-determined conclusions, that's how they use children and why they use them.

Well, that's it for tonight. So, we're at the end of the year, from Hamish and myself from a very cold Ontario Canada, it's goodnight and may your god, or your gods go with you.

Transcribed by Bill Scott.

Topics of show covered in following links:

["Princeton Physicist Calls Global Warming Science "Mistaken" " by Michael Asher \(dailytech.com\) - Dec. 23, 2008.](#)

["The 'nanny state' guide to giving children of five alcohol" by Kirsty Walker \(dailymail.co.uk\) - Dec. 31, 2008.](#)

["Big Brother CCTV to spy on pupils aged four - complete with CPS evidence kit" by Jason Lewis \(dailymail.co.uk\) - Dec. 29, 2008.](#)

["Behavioral screening -- the future of airport security?" by Dana Rosenblatt \(cnn.com\) - Dec. 2, 2008.](#)